arXiv:math/0506442v1 [math.SP] 22 Jun 2005

On one inverse problem relatively domain for the plate

Gasimov Y.S.

Institute of Applied mathematics Baku State University, Z. Khalilov 23,

AZ1148 Baku, Azerbaijan, e-mail: .ysfgasimov@yahoo.com

Abstract. Inverse problem relatively domain for the plate under across vibrations is considered. The definition of s-functions is interoduced. The construction for defining of the domain of the plate by given s-functions is offered.

Plates are elements of the constructions, which are widely used in various technical solutions. In this connection investigation of the different characteristics of the plates is one of the actual problems of the optimal projecting theory [1]. We consider the problem of finding of the form of the plate under across vibrations by given characteristics of the system- inverse problem relatively domain.

In traditional inverse spectral problems by given some experimental data (scattering data, normalizing numbers etc.) the potential is reconstructed or the necessary and sufficient conditions are proved providing unequivocal determination of the seek functions [2].

In differ from this, inverse spectral problem relatively domain has another specification. Firstly, these problems require to find rather a function but domain. Secondly, the choice of data (results of the observation), sufficient for reconstruction of the domain is also enough difficult problem [3-4].

Let D be the domain of the plate with boundary S_D.

It is known [1] that the function ω $(x_1 x_2,\ t)$ describing across vibrations of the plate satisfies equation

$$\omega_{x_1x_1x_1x_1} + 2\omega_{x_1x_1x_2x_2} + \omega_{x_2x_2x_2x_2} + \omega_{tt} = 0 \quad . \tag{1}$$

Assuming the process stabilized the solution - eigen-vibration is seeking as

$$\omega(x_1, x_2, t) = u(x_1, x_2) \cos \lambda t,$$

where λ-is an eigen-frequency.

Substituting to (1) we get

$$\Delta^2 = \lambda u, \quad x \in D, \tag{2}$$

where $\Delta^2 = \Delta\Delta$, Δ- Laplace operator.

For different cases different boundary conditions may be put. The object under investigation is the freezed plate with boundary conditions

$$u = 0, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0, \quad x \in S_D, \tag{3}$$

here $D \in R^2$ -bounded convex domain with boundary $S_D \in C^2$. It is known [5], that eigen-frequency λ_j - is positive and may be numbered as $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq ....$ The set of all convex bounded domains $D \in E^2$ we denote by M. Let

$$K = \left\{ D \in M : S_D \in \dot{C}^2 \right\},$$

where $\dot{C}^2$ is a class of the piece-wise twice continuous differentiable functions.

K may be defined by various ways, for example, by fixing of area or length of boundary, or by condition $D_1 \subset D \subset D_2$, where D_1, $D_2 \in M$ are given domains. The problem is: To find a domain $D \in K$, such that

$$\frac{\left|\Delta u_j(x)\right|^2}{\lambda_j} = s_j(x), x \in S_D, j = 1, 2, ..., \tag{4}$$

where $u_j\left(x\right)$ and λ_j are eigen-vibration and eigen-frequency of the problem (2)-(3) in the domain D correspondingly, $s_j\left(x\right)$-given functions. Note that $s_j\left(x\right), \ j = 1, 2, ...$ we call s-functions of the problem (2),(3).

In [6] the following formula is obtained for the eigenfrequency of the freezed plate under across vibrations

$$\lambda_j = \frac{1}{4} \max_{u_j} \int\limits_{S_D} \left|\Delta u_j(\xi)\right|^2 P_D(n(\xi)) ds, \tag{5}$$

where $P_D\left(x\right) = \underset{l \in D}{max}\left(l, x\right), \ \ x \in E^n-$is a support function of D, and max is taken over all eigen-vibrations u_j corresponding to eigen-frequency λ_j in the case of its multiplicity. As we see, the boundary values of the function $\left|\Delta u_j\left(x\right)\right|^2$ unequivocally define λ_j. From (5) considering (4) we get

$$\int\limits_{S_D} S_j\left(\xi\right) P_D\left(n\left(x\right)\right) ds = 4, \;\; j = 1, 2, ... \tag{6}$$

This relation is basic for solving of the considering problem by given s-functions.

Now we prove the lemma that will be used in further.

Lemma1. Let $f(x)$ be continuous function on S_B. Then for any

$$D_1, \;\; D_2 \in K$$

$$\int\limits_{S_{D_1+D_2}} f(n(\xi))d\xi = \int\limits_{S_{D_1}} f(n(\xi))d\xi + \int\limits_{S_{D_2}} f(n(\xi))d\xi, \tag{7}$$

where $D_1 + D_2$ is taken in the sence of Minkovsky i.e. $D_1 + D_2 = \{x : x = x_1 + x_2, x_1 \in D_1, x_2 \in D_2\}$, B-unit sphere.

Proof. It is known, that $f\left(x\right)$ may be continuously, positively defined extended over all the space and presented as a limit of the difference of two convex functions [7]

$$f\left(x\right) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \left[g_n\left(x\right) - h_n\left(x\right)\right]. \tag{8}$$

Not disrupting integrity we can write

$$f\left(x\right) = g\left(x\right) - h\left(x\right), \tag{9}$$

where $g\left(x\right), \; h\left(x\right)$ are convex, positively defined functions.

It is known [8] that for any continuous, convex, positively-defined function $P(x)$ there exists the only convex bounded domain D such, that $P\left(x\right)$ is a support function of D, i.e. $P\left(x\right) = P_D\left(x\right)$. The opposed statement is also true. It is also known that D is a subdifferential of its support function at the point $x = 0$

$$D = \partial P\left(0\right) = \left\{l \in E^n : P\left(x\right) \geq \left(l, x\right), \forall x \in E^n\right\}.$$

So there exist the domains $G$and H such that

$$g(x) = P_G(x), h(x) = P_H(x), x \in B \tag{10}$$

Considering (9), (10) we get

$$\begin{gathered}\int\limits_{S_{D_1+D_2}} f(n(x))ds = \int\limits_{S_{D_1+D_2}} [g(n(x)) - h(n(x)))ds] = \\ = \int\limits_{S_{D_1+D_2}} P_G(n(x))ds - \int\limits_{S_{D_1+D_2}} P_H(n(x))ds.\end{gathered} \tag{11}$$

As [6] for any D_1,D_2

$$\int\limits_{S_{D_1}} P_{D_2}(n(x))ds = \int\limits_{S_{D_2}} P_{D_1}(n(x)))ds \tag{12}$$

from (11) one may obtain $\int\limits_{S_{D_1+D_2}} f(n(x))ds = \int\limits_{S_G} P_{D_1+D_2}(n(x))ds - \int\limits_{S_H} P_{D_1+D_2}(n(x))ds.$

As $P_{D_1+D_2}(x) = P_{D_1}(x) + P_{D_2}(x)$ [8] applying (12) again we get (7).

Lemma is proved.

Now we investigate the main problem of the work- reconstraction of D by given s- functions.

Let $B \subset E^2$ and S_B- its boundary. By $\varphi_k(x)$, $k = 1, 2, ..$ we denote some basis in $C(S_B)$-space of continuous functions on S_B. These functions may be continuously, positive homogeneously extended to . It may be done as:

$$\tilde{\varphi}_k(x) = \begin{cases} \varphi_k\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|}\right) \cdot \|x\|, x \in B, x \neq 0, \\ 0, x = 0. \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

One may test, that these functions are continuous and satisfy the positive homogeneity condition

$$\tilde{\varphi}_j(\alpha x) = \alpha \tilde{\varphi}_k(x), \alpha > 0$$

Not disrupting integrity we can denote $\tilde{\varphi}_j(x)$ by $\varphi_k(x)$.

Thus we obtain the system of continuous, positive homogeneous functions defined on B.

As we noted above each positive homogeneous, continuous function $\varphi_j(x)$may be presented in the form

$$\varphi_k(x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \left[g_n^k(x) - h_n^k(x)\right] \tag{14}$$

and there exist satisfying above mentioned properties domains G_n^k and H_n^k such, that

$$g_n^k(x) = P_{G_n^k}(x), \quad h_n^k(x) = P_{H_n^k}(x). \tag{15}$$

These domains we call basic domains. Substituting these into (14) we get

$$\varphi_k\left(x\right) = \underset{n\to\infty}{lim}\left[P^k_{G^k_n}\left(x\right) - P^k_{H^k_n}\left(x\right)\right]. \tag{16}$$

For similarity let's suppose that

$$\varphi_k\left(x\right) = P_{G^k}\left(x\right) - P_{H^k}\left(x\right), \tag{17}$$

where $G^k$and H^k are closed, bounded convex domains.

As $n(x) \in S_B$, for any $x \in S_D$, we can decomposite $P_D\left(x\right)$, $x \in S_B$ by basic functions $\varphi_k\left(x\right)$

$$P_D(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k\varphi_k(x), x \in S_B, \alpha \in R. \tag{18}$$

Considering (17) from this one may get

$$P_D\left(x\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k\left(P_{G^k}\left(x\right) - P_{H^k}\left(x\right)\right),\ \ x \in S_B. \tag{19}$$

The set of all indexes for which $\alpha_k \geq 0\,(\alpha_k < 0)$ denote by I^+ (I^-).

Then the relation (19) may be written as

$$P_D(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k\varphi_k(x), x \in S_B, \alpha \in R \tag{20}$$

From last taking into account the properties of support functions [8] we obtain

$$D - \sum_{k\in I^-} \alpha_k G^k + \sum_{k\in I^+} \alpha_k H^k = \sum_{k\in I^+} \alpha_k G^k - \sum_{k\in I^-} \alpha_k H^k.$$

The use (20) and the lemma give

$$\begin{aligned}&\int\limits_{S_D} s_j(\xi)P_D(n(\xi)d\xi + \int\limits_{\sum\limits_{k\in I^-}(-\alpha_k)S_{G^k}} s_j(\xi)P_D(n(\xi))d\xi + \\ &+ \int\limits_{\sum\limits_{k\in I^+}\alpha_k S_{H^k}} s_j(\xi)P_D(n(\xi)d\xi = \int\limits_{\sum\limits_{k\in I^+}\alpha_k S_{G^k}} s_j(\xi)P_D(n(\xi))d\xi + \\ &+ \int\limits_{\sum\limits_{k\in I^-}(-\alpha_k)S_{H^k}} s_j(\xi))P_D(n(\xi))d\xi.\end{aligned}$$

From this considering (7) we have

$$\begin{gathered}\int\limits_{S_D} s_j(\xi)P_D(n(\xi)d\xi = \sum\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k \left[\int\limits_{S_{G^k}} s_j(\xi)P_D(n(\xi))d\xi - \right.\\ \left. - \int\limits_{S_{H^k}} s_j(\xi)P_D(n(\xi)d\xi \right] = 4.\end{gathered} \tag{21}$$

Substituting here (18) one may get

$$\sum_{k,m=1}^{\infty} A_{k,m}(j)\,\alpha_k\alpha_m = 4, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., \tag{22}$$

where

$$\begin{gathered}A_{k,m}(j) = \int\limits_{S_{G^k}} s_j(x)\left[P_{G^m}(n(x)) - P_{H^m}(n(x))\right]ds - \\ - \int\limits_{S_{H^k}} s_j(x)\left[P_{G^m}(n(x)) - P_{H^m}(n(x))\right]ds.\end{gathered}$$

The equation (22) has, generally speaking, no only solution. The function $P_D(x)$ is reconstructed by the help of the solution of this equation using (18).

As we noted above domain D is unequivocally defined by its support function $P_D(x)$. Suppose that (22) has the only solution providing convexity of the support function of D.

Let's show that the expressions $\frac{|\Delta u_j(\xi)|^2}{\lambda_j}, j = 1, 2, ...,$ for the problem (2), (3) in the reconstructed by the help of this solution using (18) domain D indeed are s-functions. Really, if D is a domain in which the problem (2), (3) has given by formulae (18) s-functions then decomposition $\overline{D}$ by formulae (18) and making above done transformations we get the equation (22) with the same coefficients.

From the assumption that this equation has the only solution, it follows $\overline{D} = D$.

If (22) has more than one solution then the searching domain is in among the ones, constracted by (18) using these solutions, providing convexity of $P(x)$.

References

1. S.H. Gould. Variational Methods for Eigenvalue Problems. Oxford Univerrsty Press, 1966, 328 p.

2. S.A. Avdonin, M.I. Belishev. Boundary control and inverse problem for non-selfadjoint Sturm-Liouville operator (BC-method), Control and Cybernetics, 25, 1996, p.429-440.

3. Pesaint Fabrisio, Zolesio Jean-Paul. Derivees par rapport au domaine des valeurs propres du Laplacien. C.r.Acad. sci. Ser,1.-1995-321,10.,p.1337-1310.

4. J.Elschner, G.Schimdt, M.Yamamoto. An inverse problem in periodic diffractive optics: global uniqueness with a single wave number. Inverse problems, 2003, 19, p.779-787.

5. Vladimirov V.S. Equations of mathematical physics. M.: Nauka, 1988, 512 p.

6. A.A. Niftieyev, Y.S. Gasimov. Control by boundaries and eigenvalue problems with variable domain. Publishing house "BSU", 2004, 185 p.

7. D.M. Burago, V.A.Zalgarmer. Geometrical inequalities. M.: Nauka, 1981, 400 p.

8. V.F. Demyanov, A.M. Rubinov. Basises of non-smooth analyses and quasidifferential calculas. M.: Nauka, 1990.

9. Gasimov Y.S. Niftiev A.A. On a minimization of the eigenvalues of Shrodinger operator over domains. Doclady RAS, 2001, v. 380, 3, p. 305-307.

10. Y.S. Gasimov. On some properties of the eigenvalues by the variation of the domain. Mathematical physics, analyses, geometry, 2003, v.10, 2, p.249-255.